<span id="page-0-0"></span>

# Field Testing of Flatness-Based Feedforward Control on the CART2

D. Schlipf<sup>1,2</sup>, P. Fleming<sup>2</sup>, S. Raach<sup>1</sup>,<br>A. Scholbrock<sup>2</sup>, F. Haizmann<sup>1</sup>, H. Fürst<sup>1</sup>, M. Boquet<sup>3</sup>, P. W. Cheng<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Stuttgart Wind Energy <sup>2</sup>National Renewable Energy Laboratory <sup>3</sup>Avent Lidar Technology

> Wind Europe Summit 2016 September 28 Hamburg, Germany





Stuttgart Wind Energy @ Institute of Aircraft Design

<span id="page-1-0"></span>How can we increase the TRL of lidar-assisted control?

- $\triangleright$  adjust lidar data processing to control [EWEA 2015]
- $\triangleright$  test baseline feedforward for full load [EWEA 2015]
- $\blacktriangleright$  test advanced feedforward control for transition region





### How can we increase the TRL of lidar-assisted control?

- $\triangleright$  adjust lidar data processing to control [EWEA 2015]
- $\triangleright$  test baseline feedforward for full load [EWEA 2015]
- $\triangleright$  test advanced feedforward control for transition region

### Hardware setup 2015 at NWTC, Boulder

- ► CART 2, 42.7 m rotor
- ▶ CART-SCADA: feedback(SWE) & supervisory(NREL)
- ▶ Avent 5-beam lidar: 5 points in 1.25 s, 10 range gates





### How can we increase the TRL of lidar-assisted control?

- $\triangleright$  adjust lidar data processing to control [EWEA 2015]
- $\triangleright$  test baseline feedforward for full load [EWEA 2015]
- test advanced feedforward control for transition region

### Hardware setup 2015 at NWTC, Boulder

- ► CART 2, 42.7 m rotor
- ▶ CART-SCADA: feedback(SWE) & supervisory(NREL)
- ▶ Avent 5-beam lidar: 5 points in 1.25 s, 10 range gates
- Real-time Gateway: feedforward & data processing





### How can we increase the TRL of lidar-assisted control?

- $\triangleright$  adjust lidar data processing to control  $[EWEA 2015]$
- $\triangleright$  test baseline feedforward for full load [EWEA 2015]
- $\triangleright$  test advanced feedforward control for transition region

### Hardware setup 2015 at NWTC, Boulder

- ► CART 2, 42.7 m rotor
- ▶ CART-SCADA: feedback(SWE) & supervisory(NREL)
- Avent 5-beam lidar: 5 points in 1.25 s, 10 range gates
- Real-time Gateway: feedforward & data processing



### **Objectives**

- $\blacktriangleright$  How can we realize a lidar-assisted feedforward controller in the transition region?
- $\triangleright$  What are the lessons learned from this field testing campaign?

## **Content**

- 1. [Controller Design](#page-6-0)
- 2. [Data Processing and Controller Tuning](#page-16-0)
- 3. [Field Testing Results](#page-20-0)
- 4. [Conclusion and Outlook](#page-24-0)





## <span id="page-6-0"></span>**Controller Design**

### Differential flatness

- $\blacktriangleright$  flatness is a system property: system inputs can be expressed by the flat output and its derivatives
- $\blacktriangleright$  flatness-based control usually used for set point changes
- $\blacktriangleright$  reduced wind turbine model is flat with flat output rotor speed Ω and tower displacement  $x_t$



## **Controller Design**

### Differential flatness

- $\triangleright$  flatness is a system property: system inputs can be expressed by the flat output and its derivatives
- $\blacktriangleright$  flatness-based control usually used for set point changes
- $\blacktriangleright$  reduced wind turbine model is flat with flat output rotor speed Ω and tower displacement  $x_t$



### Tower EQUILibrium Accommodation (TEQUILA)

- $\triangleright$  tower and rotor trajectories are planned online based on wind preview
- $\triangleright$  uses collective pitch and generator torque feedforward update



## **Controller Design**

### Differential flatness

- $\triangleright$  flatness is a system property: system inputs can be expressed by the flat output and its derivatives
- $\blacktriangleright$  flatness-based control usually used for set point changes
- $\blacktriangleright$  reduced wind turbine model is flat with flat output rotor speed Ω and tower displacement  $x_t$



### Tower EQUILibrium Accommodation (TEQUILA)

- $\triangleright$  tower and rotor trajectories are planned online based on wind preview
- $\triangleright$  uses collective pitch and generator torque feedforward update
- minimizes tower motion during transitions between partial and full load



Based on inversion of nonlinear 2 DOF model!



- *M*<sup>G</sup> generator torque
- *θ* pitch angle
- $\Omega$  rotor speed
- $x_{\text{T}}$  tower displacement
- $v_0$  rotor-effective wind

### Original wind turbine model

- **F** inputs:  $M_G$  and  $\theta$
- $\blacktriangleright$  outputs:  $\Omega, \dot{\Omega}, x_\mathsf{T}, \dot{x}_\mathsf{T}, \ddot{x}_\mathsf{T}$
- $\blacktriangleright$  disturbance:  $v_0$



Based on inversion of nonlinear 2 DOF model!



- *M*<sup>G</sup> generator torque
- *θ* pitch angle
- $\Omega$  rotor speed
- $x_{\text{T}}$  tower displacement
- $v_0$  rotor-effective wind

### Original wind turbine model

- **F** inputs:  $M_G$  and  $\theta$
- $\blacktriangleright$  outputs:  $\Omega, \dot{\Omega}, x_\mathsf{T}, \dot{x}_\mathsf{T}, \ddot{x}_\mathsf{T}$
- $\blacktriangleright$  disturbance:  $v_0$



#### Flat wind turbine model

- $\blacktriangleright$  inputs:  $\Omega, \dot{\Omega}, x_{\mathsf{T}}, \dot{x}_{\mathsf{T}}, \ddot{x}_{\mathsf{T}}$
- $\blacktriangleright$  outputs:  $M_{\rm G}$  and  $\theta$
- $\blacktriangleright$  disturbance:  $v_0$



Based on inversion of nonlinear 2 DOF model!



⇒

- *M*<sup>G</sup> generator torque
- *θ* pitch angle
- $\Omega$  rotor speed
- $x_{\text{T}}$  tower displacement
- $v_0$  rotor-effective wind

### Original wind turbine model

- **F** inputs:  $M_G$  and  $\theta$
- $\blacktriangleright$  outputs:  $\Omega, \dot{\Omega}, x_\mathsf{T}, \dot{x}_\mathsf{T}, \ddot{x}_\mathsf{T}$
- $\blacktriangleright$  disturbance:  $v_0$



### Flat wind turbine model

- $\blacktriangleright$  inputs:  $\Omega, \dot{\Omega}, x_{\mathsf{T}}, \dot{x}_{\mathsf{T}}, \ddot{x}_{\mathsf{T}}$
- $\blacktriangleright$  outputs:  $M_G$  and  $\theta$
- $\blacktriangleright$  disturbance:  $v_0$



Based on inversion of nonlinear 2 DOF model!



⇒

- *M*<sup>G</sup> generator torque
- *θ* pitch angle
- $\Omega$  rotor speed
- $x_{\text{T}}$  tower displacement
- $v_0$  rotor-effective wind

### Original wind turbine model

- $\blacktriangleright$  inputs:  $M_G$  and  $\theta$
- $\blacktriangleright$  outputs:  $\Omega, \dot{\Omega}, x_\mathsf{T}, \dot{x}_\mathsf{T}, \ddot{x}_\mathsf{T}$
- $\blacktriangleright$  disturbance:  $v_0$

### Flat wind turbine model

- $\blacktriangleright$  inputs:  $\Omega, \dot{\Omega}, x_{\mathsf{T}}, \dot{x}_{\mathsf{T}}, \ddot{x}_{\mathsf{T}}$
- $\blacktriangleright$  outputs:  $M_G$  and  $\theta$
- $\blacktriangleright$  disturbance:  $v_0$



#### $\blacktriangleright$  trajectories for rotor and tower motion

- $\triangleright$  considering actuator constraints
- $\rightarrow$  static curves  $+$  7 parameters for dynamics



## **Simulation Study with Perfect Wind Preview**



### Environment

- $\blacktriangleright$  full FAST model of CART2
- $\blacktriangleright$  EOG at rated wind speed
- $\triangleright$  perfect wind preview assumed



## **Simulation Study with Perfect Wind Preview**



#### Environment

- $\blacktriangleright$  full FAST model of CART2
- $\blacktriangleright$  EOG at rated wind speed
- $\triangleright$  perfect wind preview assumed

### Flatness-based feedforward

- $\triangleright$  on top of feedback controller
- $\triangleright$  coordinated control behavior of collective pitch and generator torque
- $\triangleright$  rotor and tower motion reduced at rated



## **Simulation Study with Perfect Wind Preview**



[Field Testing of Flatness-Based Feedforward Control on the CART2](#page-0-0)

#### Environment

 $OOP$ 

- $\blacktriangleright$  full FAST model of CART2
- $\blacktriangleright$  EOG at rated wind speed
- $\triangleright$  perfect wind preview assumed

### Flatness-based feedforward

- $\triangleright$  on top of feedback controller
- $\triangleright$  coordinated control behavior of collective pitch and generator torque
- $\triangleright$  rotor and tower motion reduced at rated

### Adjustments for lidar-based preview

Trajectory planning needs to deal with:

- measurement and model uncertainties
- $\blacktriangleright$  delays in measurements and actuators

 $\circ$ 

[Motivation](#page-1-0) [Controller](#page-6-0) [Tuning](#page-16-0) [Results](#page-20-0) [Conclusion](#page-24-0) 6/13

# <span id="page-16-0"></span>**Comparing Lidar and Turbine**

#### Rotor effective wind speed signals

- $\triangleright$  from turbine data and dynamic model using torque balance
- $\blacktriangleright$  from raw lidar data using wind field reconstruction methods







# **Comparing Lidar and Turbine**

### Rotor effective wind speed signals

- $\triangleright$  from turbine data and dynamic model using torque balance
- $\triangleright$  from raw lidar data using wind field reconstruction methods





#### Comparison over time

- $\blacktriangleright$  larger trends similar
- $\blacktriangleright$  smaller details differ
- we need to filter out uncorrelated frequencies
- $\rightarrow$  done by 2 parameters of trajectory planning



## **Tuning Flatness-Based Controller via Hybrid Simulations**





## **Tuning Flatness-Based Controller via Hybrid Simulations**





#### Trajectory optimization

- $\blacktriangleright$  5 free tuning parameters
- $\triangleright$  cost = pitch activity + DEL (tower & shaft) - energy yield
- $\blacktriangleright$  reduction of tower motion at low frequencies as expected
- $\rightarrow$  ready for field testing



## <span id="page-20-0"></span>**Visualization of Data Processing on Gateway**





## **Field Testing Results**



### Rotor speed regulation

- $\triangleright$  overall improved
- $\blacktriangleright$  higher variation at  $v_{\text{rated}}$

 $\triangleright$  8 hours of data compared across 45-second chunks by NREL



## **Field Testing Results**



 $\triangleright$  8 hours of data compared across 45-second chunks by NREL



## **Field Testing Results**



 $\triangleright$  8 hours of data compared across 45-second chunks by NREL results in principle positive, but more testing necessary



## <span id="page-24-0"></span>**Conclusion**

### **Objectives**

- $\blacktriangleright$  How can we realize a lidar-assisted feedforward controller in the transition region?
- $\triangleright$  What are the lessons learned from this field testing campaign?

### Flatness-based control is an option ...

- $\triangleright$  can be combined with baseline feedback control and adaptive lidar data processing
- $\triangleright$  based on inversion of reduced nonlinear model to limit tower motion during transition

 $v_{\text{rated}}$ 

 $x_{\mathrm{T}}$ 

tuning necessary with collected turbine and lidar data

## **Conclusion**

### **Objectives**

- $\blacktriangleright$  How can we realize a lidar-assisted feedforward controller in the transition region?
- $\triangleright$  What are the lessons learned from this field testing campaign?

### Flatness-based control is an option ...

- $\triangleright$  can be combined with baseline feedback control and adaptive lidar data processing
- based on inversion of reduced nonlinear model to limit tower motion during transition

 $v_{\text{rated}}$ 

Trajectory Optimization

simulation. results updated parameters

collected data

CART2 & lidar wind speed signals simulation results

rotor effective wind speed signals  $x_{\mathrm{T}}$ 

field testing

optimized parameters

 $\triangleright$  tuning necessary with collected turbine and lidar data

### ... but we need to re-think the concept!

- $\triangleright$  highly dependent on feedback controller and very sensitive to wind speed offset
- $\triangleright$  tuning of trajectory planning is tedious and only optimal for recorded data
- $\triangleright$  independent real-time capable system (Gateway) between lidar and turbine is very helpful!

## **Outlook**



### Multivariable extension based on simplified calculations [Schlipf, ACC 2016]

- $\blacktriangleright$  linear feedforward control update of generator torque and pitch angle only in transition region
- $\triangleright$  can be combined with collective pitch feedforward control above rated wind speed
- $\triangleright$  avoids online trajectory planing by fixing motion, only one tuning parameter



## **Outlook**

#### Multivariable extension based on simplified calculations [Schlipf, ACC 2016]  $\Omega_{\rm G,rated}$

 $\blacktriangleright$  linear feedforward control update of generator torque and pitch angle only in transition region

wind turbine

> task 32 lidar

 $\Omega_{\rm G}$ 

 $MESCAL$   $v_0$ 

 $\Delta\theta_{\rm FF}$  $\theta$ 

 $M_{\rm G}$ 

FB  $\theta_{\rm min}$ 

 $M_{\rm G,FF}$ 

−↑

iea wind

- can be combined with collective pitch feedforward control above rated wind speed
- avoids online trajectory planing by fixing motion, only one tuning parameter

### Cooperation within IEA Wind Task 32 "Lidar"

Workshops to identify and mitigate barriers to the use of lidar:

- $\triangleright$  optimizing lidars for wind turbine control applications (June 2016)
- guidelines on how to use lidar in the load verification  $\&$  certification process (2017)
- explore the benefits of lidar-assisted control for the cost of wind energy (2018)







#### **Thank you for your attention!**

D. Schlipf et al. E-Mail: david.schlipf@ifb.uni-stuttgart.de www.uni-stuttgart.de/windenergie

#### **Acknowledgments**

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308 with the National Renewable Energy Laboratory. Partial funding support from Karl Schlecht Foundation (KSG) is also gratefully acknowledged. Thanks to all persons from SWE, NREL, and Avent Lidar Technology who have been contributing to this field testing campaign.



